[1st February 1929

Mr. K. R. Karant :—" May I know whether certain difficulties are experienced in the Town Planning Act and whether he will endeavour to bring soon the amending Bill which has been ready for the past two years ? "

The hon. Mr. S. Muthiah Mudaliyar :—" The Town Planning Act is under me but I am not aware that any Bill is ready for the past two years."

Training of Sanitary Inspectors.

* 1335 Q.—Mr. K. Uppi Sahib : Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number separately of Sanitary and Health Inspectors who have undergone their course during the four years 1925, 1926, 1927 and 1928 ;

(*b*) how many of these officers were over 45 and how many over 50 years of age ;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that Inspectors of over 45 years of age have not to sit for any examinations at the end of the course or that they are not penalised in any way if they don't pay proper attention to their studies ;

(*d*) whether the Government are aware that the Madras Corporation have declined to send any of their Sanitary Inspectors for this training ; and if so, for what reasons ;

(*e*) whether it is a fact that Sub-Assistant Surgeons recruited as Health Inspectors have not had any training in " Minor Sanitary Engineering " and are also not required to undergo the quinquennial training in this subject ; and

(*f*) whether Government will give a statement of the amounts disbursed as salaries and travelling allowance to Lecturers and Inspectors and their families to and from Madras and for touring in districts during the four years 1925, 1926, 1927 and 1928 ?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*).

Year.	Number trained.		Total number trained.	Number of persons who were	
	Health Inspectors.	Sanitary Inspectors.		above 50.	above 45.
1925	24	13	37	4	5
1926	29	16	45	3	8
1927	27	28	55	1	7
1928	26	25	51	5	11

(*c*) Health and Sanitary Inspectors who are more than 45 years of age may apply to the Director of Public Health for exemption from the examination. The Director of Public Health grants exemption only to those persons whose progress in the class is certified to be satisfactory by the lecturing staff. Those who are not exempted are obliged to appear for the examination and if they fail, to undergo further training at their own cost.

1st February 1929]

(d) In 1925 the Corporation of Madras decided that there was no need to depute their Sanitary Inspectors to undergo the quinquennial training. In February 1927 the Corporation reconsidered the matter and decided to depute its Sanitary Inspectors to undergo the training. Two Sanitary Inspectors employed under the Corporation were trained in 1927. Two were deputed for the training in 1928 of whom one completed the course and the other stayed away owing to illness.

(e) The answer to the first part is yes. As regards the second part the Government have ordered that L.M.P. Health Inspectors should be required to undergo the quinquennial training.

(f) The following statement shows the pay and allowances drawn by Health Inspectors in connexion with the quinquennial training :—

Year.	Pay.			Travelling allowance.		
	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
1925	4,125	10	0	1,576	8	0
1926	5,589	10	0	2,686	2	0
1927	2,104	6	0	1,487	3	0
1928	4,226	14	0	3,021	11	0

No remuneration is paid to the lecturers in connexion with the training. The travelling allowance drawn by them is as follows :—

Year.	Amount.		
	RS.	A.	P.
1925	120	0	0
1926	111	11	0
1927	54	5	0
1928	127	7	0

The Government have no further information in the matter.

Registration

Alleged circular regarding production of fair pattas before registration.

* 1336 Q.—Mr. Mahmud Schamnad Sahib : Will the hon the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are aware that the re-survey of all the villages in the Kasaragod taluk is not yet complete and that in the case of several villages fair pattas giving correct survey subdivision numbers, extent and assessment have not been issued ;

(b) whether it is a fact that the District Registrar of South Kanara issued an order to the Kasaragod Sub-Registrar to accept only those documents for registration in which survey subdivision numbers, extent and assessment are given according to the re-survey ;

(c) whether it is a fact that thereby parties have been put to great inconvenience and loss and that in some urgent cases they have been forced to present documents with some incorrect re-survey numbers, etc., found in rough pattas and so on ; and

(d) if so, whether the Government propose to issue an order to the Registrar to cancel the order?